

From: Jose F. Larrea
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 12/7/01 4:23am
Subject: My Opinion

I disagree with the Settlement. MicroSoft is a monopolistic company, and your software is not only poor and with bad quality and without any originality :(That is, of course, one of his "monopolistic practices": they get one "de facto standard of the industry", and they create your own standard (and this "standard" is not compatible with "the original standard"). And they say to the costumers: I have made very goods improvements to our product (Improvements? What improvement? The improvement of Sun, Netscape, the University of Michigan, BSD Software....? And an "proprietary version" of this standard, close to integration with third party products of other Software leaders?... It's not any real improvement...only another "monopolistic practice" :()

Some examples: "Microsoft Java Machine" (MicroSoft, the first time says: the Java technology "from" Microsot, the real thing: "from" Sun Microsystems, MicroSoft, the second time says: 100% compatible....the real thing: incompatible, dont exit the compatibily test :(....), Active Directory (LDAP Techonology, from the University of Michigan.....well know LDAP products prior to Active Directory: Netscape Directory Server, also products from Novell...), "MicroSoft" Kerberos services (available on Unix Systems [Solaris, Linux,...] for years...), MicroSoft IE (and the JavaScript Netscape standard.... MicroSoft change many "object properties", and, with that: One javascript code written with the Netscape javascript standard dont work with IE :(...and also, the "MicroSoft proprietary code" dont work whit Netscape Communicators...only for your "monopolistic implementations" :()

Sorry for my bad english, and thanks in advance.

Jose, from Madrid (Spain).